arXiv:1505.05037v1 [math.SP] 19 May 2015

Asymptotic Behaviour of Resonance Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Operator with a Matrix Potential

Sedef KARAKILIÇ
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Dokuz Eylül University,
Tınaztepe Camp., Buca, 35160, Izmir, Turkey
sedef.erim@deu.edu.tr

Setenay AKDUMAN
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Dokuz Eylül University,
Tınaztepe Camp., Buca, 35160, Izmir, Turkey
setenayakduman@gmail.com

Didem COȘKAN
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Dokuz Eylül University,
Tınaztepe Camp., Buca, 35160, Izmir, Turkey
coskan.didem@gmail.com

Abstract

We will discuss the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of Schrödinger operator with a matrix potential defined by Neumann boundary condition in $L_2^m(F)$, where F is d-dimensional rectangle and the potential is a $m \times m$ matrix with $m \geq 2$, $d \geq 2$, when the eigenvalues belong to the resonance domain, roughly speaking they lie near planes of diffraction.

Keywords: Schrödinger operator, Neumann condition, Resonance eigenvalue, Perturbation theory.

AMS Subject Classifications: 47F05, 35P15

Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger Operator with a matrix potential $V(x)$ defined by the differential expression

$$L\phi = -\Delta\phi + V\phi \tag{1}$$

and the Neumann boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}|_{\partial F} = 0, \tag{2}$$

in $L_2^m(F)$ where F is the d dimensional rectangle $F = [0, a_1] \times [0, a_2] \times \ldots \times [0, a_d]$, ∂F is the boundary of F, $m \geqslant 2, d \geqslant 2$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes differentiation along the outward normal of the boundary ∂F, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is a diagonal $m \times m$ matrix whose diagonal elements are the scalar Laplace operators $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2{}^2} + \ldots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d{}^2}$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \boldsymbol{R}^d$, V is a real valued symmetric matrix $V(x) = (v_{ij}(x)), i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, v_{ij}(x) \in L_2(F)$, that is, $V^T(x) = V(x)$.

We denote the operator defined by (1)-(2) by $L(V)$, and the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of $L(V)$ by Λ_N and Ψ_N, respectively.

We assume that the Fourier coefficients $v_{ij\gamma}$ of $v_{ij}(x)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\frac{\Gamma}{2}} \mid v_{ij\gamma} \mid^2 (1 + \mid \gamma \mid^{2l}) < \infty, \tag{3}$$

for each $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad l > \frac{(d+20)(d-1)}{2} + d + 3$ which implies

$$v_{ij}(x) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha)} v_{ij\gamma} u_\gamma(x) + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}), \tag{4}$$

where $\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha) = \{\gamma \in \frac{\Gamma}{2} : 0 \leq \mid \gamma \mid < \rho^\alpha\}$, $p = l - d$, $\alpha < \frac{1}{d+20}$, ρ is a large parameter and $O(\rho^{-p\alpha})$ is a function in $L_2(F)$ with norm of order $\rho^{-p\alpha}$. Furthermore, by (3), we have

$$M_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\gamma\in\frac{\Gamma}{2}} \mid v_{ij\gamma} \mid < \infty, \tag{5}$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

We denote the operator defined by the differential expression (1) when $V(x) = V_0$, where $V_0 = \int_F V(x)dx$ and the boundary condition (2), by $L(V_0)$.

As in [15], [16], [17], we divide R^d into two domains: Resonance and Non-resonance domains. In order to define these domains, let us introduce the following sets:

Let $\alpha < \frac{1}{d+20}$, $\alpha_k = 3^k\alpha$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$ and

$$V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \equiv \left\{x \in R^d : \quad \left||x|^2 - |x+b|^2\right| < \rho^{\alpha_1}\right\},$$

$$E_1(\rho^{\alpha_1}, p) \equiv \bigcup_{b \in \Gamma(p\rho^{\alpha})} V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1}),$$

$$U(\rho^{\alpha_1}, p) \equiv R^d \setminus E_1(\rho^{\alpha_1}, p),$$

$$E_k(\rho^{\alpha_k}, p) = \bigcup_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma(p\rho^{\alpha})} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k})\right),$$

where $\Gamma(p\rho^{\alpha}) \equiv \left\{b \in \frac{\Gamma}{2} : 0 <\mid b \mid< p\rho^{\alpha}\right\}$ and the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k})$ in E_k is taken over $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k$ which are linearly independent vectors and the length of γ_i is not greater than the length of the other vector in $\Gamma \bigcap \gamma_i \boldsymbol{R}$. The set $U(\rho^{\alpha_1}, p)$ is said to be a non-resonance domain, and the eigenvalue $|\gamma|^2$ is called a non-resonance eigenvalue if $\gamma \in U(\rho^{\alpha_1}, p)$. The domains $V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1})$, for $b \in \Gamma(p\rho^{\alpha})$ are called resonance domains and the eigenvalue $|\gamma|^2$ is a resonance eigenvalue if $\gamma \in V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1})$.

As noted in [16] and [17], the domain $V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \setminus E_2$, called a single resonance domain, has asymptotically full measure on $V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1})$, that is,

$$\frac{\mu\left((V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \setminus E_2) \bigcap B(q)\right)}{\mu\left(V_b(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \bigcap B(q)\right)} \to 1, \text{ as } \rho \to \infty,$$

where $B(\rho) = \left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^d : |x|= \rho\right\}$, if

$$2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + (d+3)\alpha < 1 \text{ and } \alpha_2 > 2\alpha_1, \tag{6}$$

hold. Since $\alpha < \frac{1}{d+20}$, the conditions in (6) hold.

In [3], the asymptotic formulas of arbitrary order for the non-resonance eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator $L(V)$ with the condition (3) are obtained.

In this paper, we obtain the asymptotic formulas for the resonance eigenvalues. The main result of this paper is to find connection between the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator corresponding to a single resonance domain and the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville operators.

The organization of this paper is as follows:

In the first part of this paper, we obtained asymptotic formulas depend not only the eigenvalues of the matric $C(\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k)$ but also on the eigenvalues of the matrix V_0.

In the second part, we investigated the perturbation of the eigenvalue $|\gamma|^2$ when $\gamma \in V_\delta(\rho^{\alpha_1})\backslash E_2$. We assume that $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \ldots, \gamma^d) \notin V_{e_k}(\rho^{\alpha_1})$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, where $e_1 = \left(\frac{\pi}{a_1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, $e_2 = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{a_2}, \ldots, 0\right), \ldots, e_d = \left(0, \ldots, \frac{\pi}{a_d}\right)$. This relation implies that $\gamma^k > \frac{1}{3\rho^{\alpha_1}}$, $\forall k = 1, 2, \ldots, d$.

The case $\delta = e_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ was considered in [33], where a different method was used. Since there is no intersection between two investigated methods of the cases $\delta = e_i$ and $\delta \neq e_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, we study them in different papers.

1 Asymptotic Formulas for the Eigenvalues in the Resonance Domain

We assume that $\gamma \notin V_{e_k}(\rho^{\alpha_1})$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ where $e_1 = (\frac{\pi}{a_1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, \frac{\pi}{a_2}, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_d = (0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\pi}{a_d})$.

Let $\mid \gamma \mid^2$ be a resonance eigenvalue of the operator $L(0)$, that is, $\gamma \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k})) \setminus E_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$, $\gamma_i \neq e_j$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$.

We define the following sets

$$B_k(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k) = \{b : b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \gamma_i, n_i \in Z, \mid b \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}}\},$$

$$B_k(\gamma) = \gamma + B_k(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k) = \{\gamma + b : b \in B_k(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k)\},$$

$$B_k(\gamma, p_1) = B_k(\gamma) + \Gamma(p_1 \rho^{\alpha}).$$

Let h_τ, $\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$ denote the vectors of $B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, b_k the number of the vectors in $B_k(\gamma, p_1)$. We define the $mb_k \times mb_k$ matrix $C = C(\gamma, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k)$ by

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \mid h_1 \mid^2 I & V_{h_1 - h_2} & \cdots & V_{h_1 - h_{b_k}} \\ V_{h_2 - h_1} & \mid h_2 \mid^2 I & \cdots & V_{h_2 - h_{b_k}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ V_{h_{b_k} - h_1} & V_{h_{b_k} - h_2} & \cdots & \mid h_{b_k} \mid^2 I \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $V_{h_\tau - h_\xi}$, $\tau, \xi = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$ are the $m \times m$ matrices defined by

$$V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11 h_\tau - h_\xi} & v_{12 h_\tau - h_\xi} & \cdots & v_{1m h_\tau - h_\xi} \\ v_{21 h_\tau - h_\xi} & v_{22 h_\tau - h_\xi} & \cdots & v_{2m h_\tau - h_\xi} \\ \vdots & & & \\ v_{m1 h_\tau - h_\xi} & v_{m2 h_\tau - h_\xi} & \cdots & v_{mm h_\tau - h_\xi} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

The analogues of the following lemma can be found in [32]. (see Theorem 3.1.1.)

Lemma 1. *Let* $\mid \gamma \mid^2$ *be a resonance eigenvalue of the operator* $L(0)$*, that is,* $\gamma \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k})) \setminus E_{k+1}$*,* $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$ *where* $\mid \gamma \mid \sim \rho$*,* Λ_N *an eigenvalue of the operator* $L(V)$ *satisfying*

$$\mid \Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\alpha_1}. \tag{9}$$

Then

$$\mid \Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma\prime - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \cdots - \gamma_s \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{6} \rho^{\alpha_{k+1}} \tag{10}$$

where $h_\tau \in B_k(\gamma, p_1)$*,* $h_\tau - \gamma\prime \notin B_k(\gamma, p_1)$*,* $\gamma\prime \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha)$*,* $\gamma_i \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha)$*,* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$*,*
$s = 0, 1, \ldots, p_1 - 1$*.*

Proof. The relations $h_\tau \in B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, $h_\tau - \gamma\prime \notin B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, $2p_1 > p$ and $\mid \gamma\prime \mid, \mid \gamma_1 \mid, \ldots,$ $\mid \gamma_{p_1 - 1} \mid < \rho^\alpha$ imply that

$$a_s = h_\tau - \gamma\prime - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \ldots - \gamma_s \in B_k(\gamma, p_1) \setminus B_k(\gamma)$$

for $s = 0, 1, \ldots, p_1 - 1$. To prove the inequality (10), we use the decomposition

$$a_s = \gamma + b + a,$$

where $b \in B_k$ and $a \in \Gamma(p_1\rho^{\alpha})$. So $\mid b \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}}$ and $\mid a \mid < p_1\rho^{\alpha}$. First we show that

$$\mid\mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{5}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}. \tag{11}$$

To prove the inequality (11), we consider the following cases.
Case 1: If $a \in P = span\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$, then $a + b \in P$ and $\gamma + b + a \notin B_k(\gamma)$ imply that $a + b \in P \setminus B_k$, that is,

$$\mid a + b \mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

Now, if we consider the orthogonal decomposition of γ as $\gamma = x + v$ where $v \in P$ and $x \perp v$, then by using $x \cdot a = x \cdot b = x \cdot v = 0$, $\mid a + b \mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}}$ and $\mid v \mid < \rho^{\alpha_1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mid\mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid &= \mid\mid x + v + b + a \mid^2 - \mid x + v \mid^2 \mid \\ &= \mid\mid v + b + a \mid^2 - \mid v \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{5}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for Case 1 the inequality (11) is true.
Case 2: If $a \notin P$, then by definition of $\gamma \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k})) \setminus E_{k+1}$, we have

$$\mid\mid \gamma + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid > \rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}. \tag{12}$$

Consider the difference

$$\mid\mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid = \mid\mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma + b \mid^2 + \mid \gamma + b \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid,$$

where

$$d_1 = \mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma + b \mid^2, \; d_2 = \mid \gamma + b \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2.$$

Since

$$d_1 = \mid \gamma + b + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma + b \mid^2 = \mid \gamma + a \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 + 2a \cdot b,$$

by the inequality (12) and $\mid 2a \cdot b \mid \leq 2 \mid a \mid\mid b \mid < p_1\rho^{\alpha}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{k+1}} < \frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}$,

$$\mid d_1 \mid > \frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

On the other hand, using $\mid \gamma+b+a\mid^2-\mid\gamma\mid^2=\mid v+b+a\mid^2-\mid v\mid^2$, and taking $a=0$, we get

$$d_2=\mid\gamma+b\mid^2-\mid\gamma\mid^2=\mid v+b\mid^2-\mid v\mid^2=(\mid v+b\mid-\mid v\mid)(\mid v+b\mid+\mid v\mid)$$

from which it follows that

$$\mid d_2\mid<\frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

Then

$$\mid\mid d_1\mid-\mid d_2\mid\mid>\frac{1}{5}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

So in any case the inequality (11) is true. Therefore, the inequalities (9) and (11) imply that

$$\mid\Lambda_N-\mid\gamma+b+a\mid^2\mid=\mid\Lambda_N-\mid\gamma\mid^2-\mid\gamma+b+a\mid^2+\mid\gamma\mid^2\mid>\frac{1}{6}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

□

Theorem 1. *Let* $\mid\gamma\mid^2$ *be a resonance eigenvalue of the operator* $L(0)$*, that is,* $\gamma\in(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k}V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k}))\setminus E_{k+1}$*,* $k=1,2,\ldots,d-1$ *where* $\mid\gamma\mid\sim\rho$*,* λ_i *an eigenvalue of the matrix* V_0*, and* Λ_N *an eigenvalue of the operator* $L(V)$ *satisfying*

$$\mid\Lambda_N-\mid\gamma\mid^2\mid<\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\mid<\Phi_{\gamma,j},\Psi_N>\mid>c_{17}\rho^{-c\alpha}. \tag{14}$$

Then there exists an eigenvalue $\eta_s(\gamma)$*,* $s=1,2,\ldots,mb_k$ *of the matrix* C *such that*

$$\Lambda_N=\lambda_i+\eta_s(\gamma)+O(\rho^{-(p-c-\frac{d}{4}3^d)\alpha}).$$

Proof. We give the proof by using the same consideration as in Karakılıç (2004). The binding formula

$$(\Lambda_N-|h_\tau|^2)\langle\Psi_N,\Phi_{h_\tau,j}\rangle=\langle\Psi_N,V\Phi_{h_\tau,j}\rangle \tag{15}$$

for any $h_\tau\in B_k(\gamma,p_1)$, $\tau=1,2,\ldots,b_k$ and the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}V(x)\Phi_{h_\tau,j}(x) &= (\sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha)}v_{1j\gamma'}u_{h_\tau+\gamma'}(x),\ldots,\sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha)}v_{mj\gamma'}u_{h_\tau+\gamma'}(x))+O(\rho^{-p\alpha})\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha)}v_{ij\gamma'}\Phi_{h_\tau+\gamma',i}(x)+O(\rho^{-p\alpha}).\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

give

$$(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,j} >= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma^{+0}(\rho^\alpha)} v_{ij\gamma'} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma',i} > +O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \tag{17}$$

We first show that

$$O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{ij\gamma'} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma',i} > \tag{18}$$

for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Here we remark that $\gamma' \neq 0$. If it were the case, then we would have from $h_\tau - \gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma, p_1)$ that $h_\tau \notin B_k(\gamma, p_1)$ which is a contradiction.
Since Λ_N satisfies the inequality (13), by Lemma 1, we have $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma' \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{6}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}}$. Using this and the decomposition (17) for $h_\tau - \gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{ij\gamma'} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma',i} > \\ = &\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} \frac{v_{ij\gamma'}}{\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma' \mid^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{i_1 i \gamma_1} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma'-\gamma_1,i_1} > +O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

In this manner, iterating p_1 times, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma' \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{ij\gamma'} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma',i} > \\ = &\sum_{i,i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{p_1}=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma',\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_{p_1} \in \Gamma(\rho^\alpha) \\ h_\tau-\gamma' \notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} \\ &\frac{v_{ij\gamma'} v_{i_1 i \gamma_1} \ldots v_{i_{p_1} i_{p_1-1} \gamma_{p_1}} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma'-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_{p_1},i_{p_1}} >}{(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma' \mid^2)(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma' - \gamma_1 \mid^2) \ldots (\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau - \gamma' - \gamma_1 - \cdots - \gamma_{p_1-1} \mid^2)} + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking norm of both sides of the last equality, using Lemma 1, the relation

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{\gamma \in \frac{\Gamma}{2}} |v_{ij\gamma}| < \infty \tag{19}$$

and the fact that $p_1\alpha_{k+1} \geq p_1\alpha_2 > p\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\prime\in\Gamma(\rho^{\alpha}) \\ h_\tau-\gamma\prime\notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{ij\gamma\prime} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma\prime,i} >\mid \\
\leq \; & \sum_{i,i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{p_1}=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\prime,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_{p_1}\in\Gamma(\rho^{\alpha}) \\ h_\tau-\gamma\prime\notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} \\
& \frac{\mid v_{ij\gamma\prime} \mid\mid v_{i_1 i\gamma_1} \mid \ldots \mid v_{i_{p_1} i_{p_1-1}\gamma_{p_1}} \mid\mid< \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma\prime-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_{p_1},i_{p_1}} >\mid}{\mid \Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau-\gamma\prime \mid^2\mid\mid \Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau-\gamma\prime-\gamma_1 \mid^2\mid \ldots \mid \Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau-\gamma\prime-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_{p_1-1} \mid^2\mid} + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \\
\leq \; & (\frac{1}{6}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}})^{-p_1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\prime,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_{p_1}\in\Gamma(\rho^{\alpha}) \\ h_\tau-\gamma\prime\notin B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} \mid v_{ij\gamma\prime} \mid\mid v_{i_1 i\gamma_1} \mid \ldots \mid v_{i_{p_1} i_{p_1-1}\gamma_{p_1}} \mid\mid< \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma\prime-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_{p_1},i_{p_1}} >\mid +O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \\
\leq \; & (\frac{1}{6}\rho^{\alpha_{k+1}})^{-p_1} \sum_{i,i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{p_1}=1}^{m} M_{ij}M_{i_1 i}\ldots M_{i_{p_1} i_{p_1-1}} \mid< \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma\prime-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_{p_1},i_{p_1}} >\mid \\
+ \; & O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \\
= \; & O(\rho^{-p\alpha}).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, the estimation (18) holds. Therefore, the decomposition (17) becomes

$$(\Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau \mid^2) < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,j} >= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\prime\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^{\alpha}) \\ h_\tau-\gamma\prime\in B_k(\gamma,p_1)}} v_{ij\gamma\prime} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau-\gamma\prime,i} > +O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \tag{20}$$

Since $h_\tau-\gamma\prime \in B_k(\gamma,p_1)$, using the notation $h_\xi = h_\tau-\gamma\prime$, the decomposition (20) can be written as

$$(\Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau \mid^2) < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,j} >= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{h_\tau-h_\xi\in\Gamma^{+0}(\rho^{\alpha})} v_{ijh_\tau-h_\xi} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\xi,i} > +O(\rho^{-p\alpha}).$$

Isolating the terms where $h_\tau - h_\xi = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Lambda_N- \mid h_\tau \mid^2) < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,j} > \; = \; & \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{ij0} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \\
+ \; & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{h_\tau-h_\xi\in\Gamma(\rho^{\alpha})} v_{ijh_\tau-h_\xi} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\xi,i} > \\
+ \; & O(\rho^{-p\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Considering the decomposition (21) for an arbitrary $h_\tau \in B_k(\gamma,p_1)$, $\tau = 1,2,\ldots,b_k$ and for all

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we get

$$(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) I A(N, h_\tau) = V_0 A(N, h_\tau) + \sum_{\substack{\xi=1 \\ \xi \neq \tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N, h_\xi) + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}), \tag{22}$$

or

$$[(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) I - V_0] A(N, h_\tau) = \sum_{\substack{\xi=1 \\ \xi \neq \tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N, h_\xi) + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}), \tag{23}$$

where I is an $m \times m$ identity matrix, $V_{h_\tau - h_\xi}$ is given by (8), $O(\rho^{-p\alpha})$ is an $m \times 1$ vector and $A(N, h_\xi)$ is the $m \times 1$ vector

$$A(N, h_\xi) = (< \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\xi, 1} >, < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\xi, 2} >, \dots, < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\xi, m} >) \tag{24}$$

for any $\xi = 1, 2, \dots, b_k$.

Let λ_i be an eigenvalue of the matrix V_0 and ω_i the corresponding normalized eigenvector. Multiplying both sides of the decomposition (23) by ω_i, we get

$$[(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) I - V_0] A(N, h_\tau) \cdot \omega_i = \sum_{\substack{\xi=1 \\ \xi \neq \tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N, h_\xi) \cdot \omega_i + O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \tag{25}$$

For the left hand side of this last equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) I - V_0] A(N, h_\tau) \cdot \omega_i &= A(N, h_\tau) \cdot [(\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2) I - V_0] \omega_i \\ &= A(N, h_\tau) \cdot (\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \lambda_i) \omega_i \\ &= (\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \lambda_i) A(N, h_\tau) \cdot \omega_i. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Letting $\lambda_{N,\tau,i} = \Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \lambda_i$, by the equation (26), we have from the decomposition (25) that

$$[\lambda_{N,\tau,i} I A(N, h_\tau) - \sum_{\substack{\xi=1 \\ \xi \neq \tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N, h_\xi)] \cdot \omega_i = O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \tag{27}$$

Since the set of normalized eigenvectors $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m}$ of the matrix V_0 forms a basis for R^m, for any vector $\lambda_{N,\tau,i} I A(N, h_\tau) - \sum_{\substack{\xi=1 \\ \xi \neq \tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N, h_\xi)$, $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, b_k$ in R^m by using Parseval's relation and the equation (27), we have

$$| \lambda_{N,\tau,i} I A(N,h_\tau) - \sum_{\substack{\xi=1\\ \xi\neq\tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N,h_\xi) |^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} | [\lambda_{N,\tau,i} I A(N,h_\tau) - \sum_{\substack{\xi=1\\ \xi\neq\tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N,h_\xi)] \cdot \omega_i |^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} | O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) |^2 . \tag{28}$$

It follows from (28) that

$$\lambda_{N,\tau,i} I A(N,h_\tau) - \sum_{\substack{\xi=1\\ \xi\neq\tau}}^{b_k} V_{h_\tau - h_\xi} A(N,h_\xi) = O(\rho^{-p\alpha}). \tag{29}$$

Now, considering the equation (29) for all $h_\tau \in B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, $\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$, we obtain the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{N,1,i} I & -V_{h_1-h_2} & \cdots & -V_{h_1-h_{b_k}} \\ -V_{h_2-h_1} & \lambda_{N,2,i} I & \cdots & -V_{h_2-h_{b_k}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ -V_{h_{b_k}-h_1} & -V_{h_{b_k}-h_2} & \cdots & \lambda_{N,b_k,i} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A(N,h_1) \\ A(N,h_2) \\ \vdots \\ A(N,h_{b_k}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \\ O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \\ \vdots \\ O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

We may write the system (30) as

$$[(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i) I - C] A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) = O(\rho^{-p\alpha}), \tag{31}$$

where I is an $mb_k \times mb_k$ identity matrix, C is given by (52), $A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k})$ is the $mb_k \times 1$ vector

$$A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) = (A(N,h_1), A(N,h_2), \ldots, A(N,h_{b_k}))$$

and $O(\rho^{-p\alpha})$ is an $mb_k \times 1$ vector. Multiplying both sides of the equation (31) by $[(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i) I - C]^{-1}$, and taking norm of both sides, we get

$$| A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) | \leq \| [(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i) I - C]^{-1} \| | O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) | . \tag{32}$$

By the estimation (14), together with $b_k = O(\rho^{\frac{d}{2} 3^d \alpha})$ we have the estimations

$$| A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) | > c_{18} \rho^{-c\alpha}, \quad | O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) | = O(\rho^{-(p - \frac{d}{4} 3^d)\alpha}).$$

Thus it follows from the inequality (32) and the last estimations that

$$c_{18}\rho^{-c\alpha} \leq \| [(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i)I - C]^{-1} \| c_{19}\rho^{-(p-\frac{d}{4}3^d)\alpha},$$

$$\min_{s=1,2,\ldots,mb_k} \mid \Lambda_N - \lambda_i - \eta_s(\gamma) \mid \leq c_{20}\rho^{-(p-c-\frac{d}{4}3^d)\alpha},$$

$$\Lambda_N = \lambda_i + \eta_s(\gamma) + O(\rho^{-(p-c-\frac{d}{4}3^d)\alpha}).$$

□

Theorem 2. *Let* $\mid \gamma \mid^2$ *be a resonance eigenvalue of the operator* $L(0)$*, that is,* $\gamma \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{\gamma_i}(\rho^{\alpha_k}))\setminus E_{k+1}$*,* $k = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$ *where* $\mid \gamma \mid \sim \rho$*,* λ_i *an eigenvalue of the matrix* V_0*,* $\eta_s(\gamma)$ *an eigenvalue of the matrix* C *such that* $\mid \eta_s(\gamma) - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid < \frac{3}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}$*. Then there is an eigenvalue* Λ_N *of the operator* $L(V)$ *satisfying*

$$\Lambda_N = \lambda_i + \eta_s(\gamma) + O(\rho^{-p\alpha+\frac{d}{4}3^d\alpha+\frac{d-1}{2}}), \tag{33}$$

where $\eta_s(\gamma)$*,* $s = 1, 2, \ldots, mb_k$ *is an eigenvalue of the matrix* C *which is given by* (52).

Proof. We prove this theorem by using the same consideration as in Karakılıç (2004). By the general perturbation theory, there is an eigenvalue Λ_N of the operator $L(V)$ such that $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}$ holds. Thus one can use the system (31)

$$[(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i)I - C]A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) = O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \tag{34}$$

of Theorem 1. Let η_s, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, mb_k$ be an eigenvalue of the matrix C and $\theta_s = (\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, \ldots, \theta_s^{b_k})_{mb_k\times 1}$ the corresponding normalized eigenvector, $\mid \theta_s \mid = 1$, where $\theta_s^\tau = (\theta_s^{\tau 1}, \theta_s^{\tau 2}, \ldots, \theta_s^{\tau m})_{m\times 1}$, $\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$. Multiplying the equation (34) by θ_s, we get

$$[(\Lambda_N - \lambda_i)I - C]A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) \cdot \theta_s = O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \cdot \theta_s. \tag{35}$$

From the left hand side of the equation (35) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(\Lambda_N-\lambda_i)I-C]A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s\\
&\qquad= A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot[(\Lambda_N-\lambda_i)I-C]\theta_s\\
&\qquad= A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot[(\Lambda_N-\lambda_i)I\theta_s-\eta_s\theta_s]\\
&\qquad= A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot(\Lambda_N-\lambda_i-\eta_s)\theta_s\\
&\qquad= (\Lambda_N-\lambda_i-\eta_s)A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equation (36) in the equation (35), and taking norm of both sides, we get

$$
\mid \Lambda_N-\lambda_i-\eta_s \mid\mid A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s \mid=\mid O(\rho^{-p\alpha})\cdot\theta_s \mid. \qquad (37)
$$

From the right hand side of the equation (37) by using $b_k=O(\rho^{\frac{d}{2}3^d\alpha})$, we have

$$
\mid O(\rho^{-p\alpha})\cdot\theta_s \mid\leq\mid O(\rho^{-p\alpha}) \mid\mid \theta_s \mid=\sqrt{mb_k(\rho^{-p\alpha})^2}=\sqrt{mb_k}\rho^{-p\alpha}=O(\rho^{-p\alpha+\frac{d}{4}3^d\alpha}). \qquad (38)
$$

The equation (37) and the estimation (38) give

$$
\mid \Lambda_N-\lambda_i-\eta_s \mid\mid A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s \mid=O(\rho^{-p\alpha+\frac{d}{4}3^d\alpha}). \qquad (39)
$$

Now, we estimate $\mid A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s \mid$. Since

$$
\mid A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s \mid=\mid\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}<\Psi_N,\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid=\mid<\Psi_N,\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid, \qquad (40)
$$

to estimate $\mid A(N,h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{b_k})\cdot\theta_s \mid$, we consider the Parseval's relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 &= \parallel\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}\Phi_{h_\tau,i}\parallel^2=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\mid<\Psi_N,\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid^2\\
&= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\mid\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}<\Psi_N,\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid^2\\
&= \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}}\mid\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}<\Psi_N,\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid^2\\
&+ \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|<\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}}\mid\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}<\Psi_N,\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>\mid^2. \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}
$$

We give an estimation for the first summation in the last expression.

$$\sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} |\sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \\
+ & \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|\geq\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\
< & \ 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\
+ & \ 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|\geq\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 . \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term

$$2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|\geq\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2$$

in the inequality (42), we consider the matrix C as $C = A + B$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} |\, h_1 \,|^2\, I & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & |\, h_{b_k} \,|^2\, I \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V_{h_1-h_2} & \cdots & V_{h_1-h_{b_k}} \\ V_{h_2-h_1} & 0 & \cdots & V_{h_2-h_{b_k}} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ V_{h_{b_k}-h_1} & V_{h_{b_k}-h_2} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \qquad (43)$$

Let $\{e_{\tau,i}\}_{\tau=1,2,\ldots,b_k, i=1,2,\ldots,m}$ be a set of orthonormal vectors such that $e_{\tau,i}\cdot e_{\xi,k} = 1$ if $\tau = \xi$, $i = k$, $e_{\tau,i}\cdot e_{\xi,k} = 0$ otherwise. Multiplying $C\theta_s = (A + B)\theta_s$ by $e_{\tau,i}$, we get

$$C\theta_s \cdot e_{\tau,i} = (\eta_s\theta_s)\cdot e_{\tau,i} = \eta_s(\theta_s \cdot e_{\tau,i}) = \eta_s\theta_s^{\tau i},$$

and

$$(A + B)\theta_s \cdot e_{\tau,i} = \theta_s \cdot (A + B)e_{\tau,i} = \theta_s \cdot Ae_{\tau,i} + \theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i} = \theta_s^{\tau i} \mid h_\tau \mid^2 + \theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i}.$$

From the equality of the last two equations we have

$$(\eta_s - \mid h_\tau \mid^2)\theta_s^{\tau i} = \theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i} \tag{44}$$

for any $\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.
Using Bessel's inequality, Parseval's relation, orthogonality of the functions $\Phi_{h_\tau,i}(x)$, $\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, b_k$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, the binding formula (44) and $\parallel B \parallel \leq M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} |< \Psi_N, \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} |< \Psi_N, \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\
&= 2 \parallel \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} \Phi_{h_\tau,i} \parallel^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mid \theta_s^{\tau i} \mid^2 \parallel \Phi_{h_\tau,i} \parallel^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mid \theta_s^{\tau i} \mid^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mid \theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i} \mid^2}{\mid \eta_s - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid^2} \\
&\leq 2(\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1})^{-2} \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mid \theta_s \mid^2 \parallel B \parallel^2 \mid e_{\tau,i} \mid^2 \\
&= O(\rho^{-2\alpha_1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Now, we estimate the term

$$2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2$$

in the inequality (42). The assumption

$$\mid \eta_s - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid < \frac{3}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}$$

of the theorem together with $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}$ and $\mid \eta_s - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}$ imply that $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1}$ and $\mid\mid \gamma \mid^2 - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1}$. So one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big\{\sum_{n=0}^{k}\Big(\frac{\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big)^n + O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1})\Big\}. \qquad (46) \end{aligned}$$

Using the binding formula (15) for any $h_\tau \in B_k(\gamma, p_1)$, $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid > \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1}$ and the decomposition (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{0} \tau :\mid \eta_s - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \mid^2 \\ = \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i}\frac{< \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid h_\tau \mid^2} \mid^2 \\ = \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{k}\Big(\frac{\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big)^n + O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1})\right\} \mid^2 \\ \leq \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2} \mid^2 \\ + \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\frac{\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2} \mid^2 \\ \vdots \ & \\ + \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big[\frac{\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}\Big]^k \mid^2 \\ + \ & 2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2}O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) \mid^2 . \end{aligned}$$

We estimate

$$2\sum_{N:\mid\Lambda_N - \mid\gamma\mid^2\mid \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:\mid\eta_s - \mid h_\tau\mid^2\mid < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \frac{(\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r}{(\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^{r+1}} \mid^2,$$

where $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and

$$2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) \mid^2 .$$

For an arbitrary $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ using Bessel's inequality, triangle inequality,

$$\mid \theta_s^{\tau i} \mid \leq 1, \quad \mid\mid \gamma \mid^2 - \mid h_\tau \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1}$$

and the relations (19) and

$$M_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{ij}, \quad M_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{ij} \quad M^2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} M_i \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} M_j,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \mid \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \frac{(\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r}{(\Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^{r+1}} \mid^2 \\
= \; & 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \frac{(k+1)}{\mid \Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid^{2(r+1)}} \mid \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > (\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r \mid^2 \\
\leq \; & 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2(r+1)}(k+1) \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid < \Psi_N, \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} (\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \mid^2 \\
\leq \; & 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2(r+1)}(k+1) \parallel \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} (\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} \parallel^2 \\
\leq \; & 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2(r+1)}(k+1)(\sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \parallel \theta_s^{\tau i} (\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2)^r V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \parallel)^2 \\
= \; & 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2(r+1)}(k+1)(\sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mid \theta_s^{\tau i} \mid\mid\mid h_\tau \mid^2 - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid^r \parallel V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} \parallel)^2 \\
\leq \; & 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2(r+1)}(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\alpha_1})^{2r}(k+1)(\sum_{\tau:|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| < \frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \parallel V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} \parallel)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} O(\rho^{-4\alpha_1}) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k} 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|>\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \\ &\quad | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > \frac{(|\, h_\tau \,|^2 - |\, \gamma \,|^2)^r}{(\Lambda_N - |\, \gamma \,|^2)^{r+1}} \,|^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Similarly, we have

$$2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \,| \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tfrac{\theta_s^{\tau i}<\Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i}>}{\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) \,|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \frac{(k+1)}{|\, \Lambda_N - |\, \gamma \,|^2|^2} \\ &\quad | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} > O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) \,|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2}(k+1) \\ &\quad \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} |< \Psi_N, \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2}(k+1) \,\| \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} \,\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2}(k+1)(\sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| \,\theta_s^{\tau i} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >\|)^2 \\ &\leq 2(\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1})^{-2} O(\rho^{-2(k+1)\alpha_1})(k+1)(\sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| \,V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} \,\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} O(\rho^{-8\alpha_1}) &= 2 \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N-|\gamma|^2|\geq\frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} (k+1) \\ &\quad | \sum_{\tau:|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|<\frac{1}{8}\rho^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, V\Phi_{h_\tau,i} >}{\Lambda_N - |\, \gamma \,|^2} O(\rho^{-(k+1)\alpha_1}) \,|^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

By the inequality (42) and the estimations (45), (47) and (48), we have

$$O(\rho^{-2\alpha_1}) = \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 .$$

Therefore, from the decomposition (41) we have

$$1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_1}) = \sum_{N:|\Lambda_N - |\gamma|^2| < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}} \mid \sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2 .$$

Since the number of indexes N satisfying $\mid \Lambda_N - \mid \gamma \mid^2 \mid < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2\alpha_1}$ is less then ρ^{d-1}, we have

$$1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_1}) \leq \rho^{d-1} \mid \sum_{\tau=1}^{b_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_s^{\tau i} < \Psi_N, \Phi_{h_\tau,i} >|^2$$

which implies together with the relation (40) that

$$\mid A(N, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{b_k}) \cdot \theta_s \mid^2 \geq \frac{1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_1})}{\rho^{d-1}}. \tag{49}$$

It follows from the equation (39) and the estimation (49) that

$$\Lambda_N = \lambda_i + \eta_s + \frac{O(\rho^{-p\alpha + \frac{d}{4}3^d\alpha})}{O(\rho^{-\frac{d-1}{2}})}$$

from which we get the result. □

2 Asymptotic Formulas for the Eigenvalues in a Single Resonance Domain

Now, we investigate in detail the eigenvalues of $L(V)$ in a single resonance domain. Namely, we find the relation between the eigenvalues of $L(V)$ in a single resonance domain and the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville operators. In order the inequalities for $\alpha < \frac{1}{d+20}$,

$$2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + (d+3)\alpha < 1 \tag{50}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 > 2\alpha_1, \tag{51}$$

to be satisfied, we can choose $\alpha,\ \alpha_1$ and α_2 as follows

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{d+p},\ \ \alpha_1 = \frac{p_2}{d+p},\ \ \alpha_2 = \frac{2p_2+1}{d+p},$$

where $p_2 = [\frac{p-5}{3}] - 1$ and $[\frac{p-5}{3}]$ is the integer part of the number $\frac{p-5}{3}$.
Let $\gamma \in V_\delta(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \setminus E_2,\ \delta \in \frac{\Gamma}{2} \backslash \{e_i\}$, where δ is minimal in its direction. Consider the following sets :

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(\delta) \quad &= \{b : b = n\delta\,, n \in Z\,,\, |b| < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2}\}, \\ B_1(\gamma) \quad &= \gamma + B_1(\delta) = \{\gamma + b : b \in B_1(\delta)\}, \\ B_1(\gamma, p_1) \quad &= B_1(\gamma) + \Gamma(p_1\rho^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

As before, denote by $h_s,\ s = 1, 2, ..., b_1$ the vectors of $B_1(\gamma, p_1)$, where b_1 is the number of vectors in $B_1(\gamma, p_1)$. Then the matrix $C(\gamma, \delta)$ is defined as:

$$C(\gamma,\delta) = (c_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mid h_1 \mid^2 I & V_{h_1-h_2} & \cdots & V_{h_1-h_{b_1}} \\ V_{h_2-h_1} & \mid h_2 \mid^2 I & \cdots & V_{h_2-h_{b_1}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ V_{h_{b_1}-h_1} & V_{h_{b_1}-h_2} & \cdots & \mid h_{b_1} \mid^2 I \end{bmatrix}, \tag{52}$$

Also we define the matrix $D(\gamma, \delta) = (c_{ij})$ for $i, j = 1, 2, ..., ma_1$, where $h_1, h_2, ..., h_{a_1}$ are the vectors of $B_1(\gamma, p_1) \bigcap \{\gamma + n\delta : n \in Z\}$, and a_1 is the number of vectors in $B_1(\gamma, p_1) \bigcap \{\gamma + n\delta : n \in Z\}$. Clearly $a_1 = O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2})$.

Lemma 2. *a) If η_s is an eigenvalue of the matrix $C(\gamma, \delta)$ such that $|\eta_s - |h_s|^2| < M$ for $s = 1, 2, ..., a_1$, then*

$$|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| > \frac{1}{4}\rho^{\alpha_2} \ \ for\ \forall\tau = a_1 + 1, a_1 + 2, ..., b_1.$$

b) If η_s is an eigenvalue of the matrix $C(\gamma, \delta)$ such that $|\eta_s - |h_s|^2| < M$ for $s = a_1+1, a_1+2, ..., b_1$

then

$$|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| > \frac{1}{4}\rho^{\alpha_2} \ \textit{for} \ \forall\tau = 1, 2, ..., a_1.$$

Proof. First we prove

$$||h_\tau|^2 - |h_s|^2| \geq \frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha_2}, \quad \forall s \leq a_1, \quad \forall\tau > a_1. \tag{53}$$

By definition, if $s \leq a_1$ then $h_s = \gamma + n\delta$, where $|n\delta| < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2} + p_1\rho^\alpha$. If $\tau > a_1$ then $h_\tau = \gamma + s^{'}\delta + a$, where $|s^{'}\delta| < \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2}$, $a \in \Gamma(p_1\rho^\alpha) \setminus \delta R$. Therefore

$$|h_\tau|^2 - |h_s|^2 = 2\langle\gamma, a\rangle + 2s^{'}\langle\delta, a\rangle + 2s^{'}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle + |s^{'}\delta|^2 + |a|^2 - 2n\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle - |n\delta|^2.$$

Since $\gamma \notin V_a(\rho^{\alpha_2})$, $|a| < p_1\rho^\alpha$, we have

$$|2\langle\gamma, a\rangle| > \rho^{\alpha_2} - c_9\rho^{2\alpha}.$$

The relation $\gamma \in V_\delta(\rho^{\alpha_1})$ and the inequalities for $s^{'}$ and n imply that

$$2s^{'}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle + 2s^{'}\langle\gamma, a\rangle + |a|^2 - 2n\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle = O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \alpha_1}),$$
$$||s^{'}\delta|^2 - |n\delta|^2| < \frac{1}{4}\rho^{\alpha_2} + c_{10}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \alpha}.$$

Thus (53) follows from these relations, since $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + \alpha < \alpha_2$.

The eigenvalues of $D(\gamma, \delta)$ and $C(\gamma, \delta)$ lay in M-neighborhood of the numbers $|h_k|^2$ for $k = 1, 2, ..., a_1$ and for $k = 1, 2, ..., b_1$, respectively. The inequality (53) shows that one can enumerate the eigenvalues η_s $(s = 1, 2, ..., mb_1)$ of $C(\gamma, \delta)$ such that η_s for $s \leq ma_1$ lay in M-neighborhood of the numbers $|h_k|^2$ for $k \leq a_1$ and η_s for $s > ma_1$ lay in M-neighborhood of the numbers $|h_k|^2$ for $k > a_1$.Then by (53), we get

$$|\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2| > \frac{1}{4}\rho^{\alpha_2}, \tag{54}$$

for $s \leq ma_1$, $\tau > a_1$ and $s > ma_1$, $\tau \leq a_1$.

□

Theorem 3. *Let $\gamma \in V_\delta(\rho^{\alpha_1}) \setminus E_2$ and $|\gamma| \sim \rho$. Then, for any eigenvalue $\eta_s(\gamma)$ of the matrix $C(\gamma, \delta)$ satisfying $|\eta_s - |h_s|^2| < M$, $s = 1, 2, ..., a_1$, there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\eta}_{k(s)}$ of the matrix*

$D(\gamma,\delta)$ such that

$$\eta_s = \widetilde{\eta}_{k(s)} + O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2}),$$

Proof. Let η_s be an eigenvalue of $C(\gamma,\delta)$, and $\theta_s = (\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, ..., \theta_s^{b_1})_{mb_1\times 1}$ be the corresponding normalized eigenvector, $|\theta_s| = 1$, where $\theta_s^\tau = (\theta_s^{\tau 1}, \theta_s^{\tau 2}, \dots, \theta_s^{\tau m})_{m\times 1}$, $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, b_1$. Denote by $\{e_{\tau,i}\}_{i=1,2,...,m}$ be the set of eigenvectors of $A(\gamma,\delta)$ corresponding to the τ-th eigenvalue $|h_\tau|^2$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mid h_1 \mid^2 I & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mid h_{b_1} \mid^2 I \end{bmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

The binding formula for $C(\gamma,\delta)$ and $A(\gamma,\delta)$, is :

$$(\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2)\theta_s^{\tau i} = \theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i} \tag{56}$$

for any $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, b_1$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Substituting the orthogonal decomposition $Be_{\tau,i} = \sum\limits_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,2,...,b_1}} \langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle e_{\xi,k_1}$ in equation 56, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2)\theta_s^{\tau i} = \theta_s \cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,2,...,b_1}} \langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle e_{\xi,k_1} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,2,...,b_1}} \langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle \theta_s \cdot e_{\xi,k_1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,2,...,b_1}} \langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle \theta_s^{\xi k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that,

$$\langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad \xi = \tau \\ v_{k_1 i h_\xi - h_\tau} & \text{if} \quad \xi \neq \tau \end{cases}$$

this implies

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,2,...,b_1}} \langle Be_{\tau,i}, e_{\xi,k_1}\rangle = \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m \\ \xi=1,...,b_1 \\ \xi\neq\tau}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi - h_\tau}$$

and thus one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2)\theta_s^{\tau i} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq\tau}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_\tau}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=1,...,a_1\\ \xi\neq\tau}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_\tau}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}+\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq\tau}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_\tau}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}. \qquad (57)\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking any $\eta_s\in[|h_s|^2-M,|h_s|^2+M|]$, $s=1,2,...,a_1$ and writing the equation (57) for all h_τ , $\tau=1,2,...,a_1$, we get the system of linear algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta_s-|h_1|^2)\theta_s^{1i}-\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=1,2,...,a_1\\ \xi\neq1}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_1}\theta_s^{\xi k_1} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq1}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_1}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}\\ (\eta_s-|h_2|^2)\theta_s^{2i}-\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=1,2,...,a_1\\ \xi\neq2}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_2}\theta_s^{\xi k_1} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq2}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_2}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}\\ &\vdots\\ (\eta_s-|h_{a_1}|^2)\theta_s^{a_1 i}-\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=1,2,...,a_1\\ \xi\neq a_1}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{a_1}}\theta_s^{\xi k_1} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq a_1}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{a_1}}\theta_s^{\xi k_1} \qquad (58)\end{aligned}$$

Using the binding formula (56) and the relation (54), we find an estimation for the right hand side of the above system. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq s'}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{s'}}\theta_s^{\xi k_1}\Big| &= \Big|\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq s'}} v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{s'}}\frac{\theta_s\cdot Be_{\xi,k_1}}{(\eta_s-|h_\xi|^2)}\Big|\\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq s'}} |v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{s'}}|\frac{\|\theta_s\|\cdot\|B\|\cdot\|e_{\xi,k_1}\|}{(\eta_s-|h_\xi|^2)}\\ &\leq 4\rho^{-\alpha_2}M\sum_{\substack{k_1=1,2,...,m\\ \xi=a_1+1,...,b_1\\ \xi\neq s'}} |v_{k_1 i h_\xi-h_{s'}}|\\ &\leq 4\rho^{-\alpha_2}M^2\\ &= O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})\end{aligned}$$

for $\forall s^{'} = 1, 2, \ldots, a_1$. Then, the system (58) becomes

$$(D(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)[\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, \ldots, \theta_s^{a_1}]^t = [O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), ..., O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})]^t,$$

or

$$[\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, \ldots, \theta_s^{a_1}]^t = (D(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)^{-1}[O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), ..., O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})]^t. \tag{59}$$

Using the binding formula (56) and relation 54 we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=a_1+1,...,b_1}} |\theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 &= \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=a_1+1,...,b_1}} |\frac{\theta_s \cdot Be_{\tau,i}}{(\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2)}|^2 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=a_1+1,...,b_1}} \frac{|B\theta_s \cdot e_{\tau i}|^2}{(\eta_s - |h_\tau|^2)^2} \\
&\leq 16M^2\rho^{-2\alpha_2} \\
&= O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2}),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, by Parseval's identity we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 &= \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=1,...,b_1}} |\theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 - \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=a_1+1,...,b_1}} |\theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 \\
&\geq 1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the norm of (59) and using the above inequality we have :

$$\sqrt{1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2})} < (\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\theta_s^{\tau i}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|(D(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)^{-1}\| O(\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2})$$

Thus,

$$max|\eta_s - \widetilde{\eta}_{k(s)}|^{-1} > \frac{\sqrt{1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2})}}{\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2}},$$

or

$$min|\eta_s - \widetilde{\eta}_{k(s)}| = O(\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2}) = O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2})$$

where the maximum (minimum) is taken over all $\widetilde{\eta}_{k(s)}$, $s = 1, 2, ..., a_1$. So, the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 4. *For any eigenvalue $\widetilde{\eta}_k$ of the matrix $D(\gamma,\delta)$, there exists an eigenvalue $\eta_{s(k)}$ of the* *matrix $C(\gamma,\delta)$ such that*

$$\eta_{s(k)} = \widetilde{\eta}_k + O(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2})$$

Proof. Define the matrix $D'(\gamma,\delta) = (d'_{ij})$ as;

$$D' = \begin{bmatrix} \mid h_1 \mid^2 I & V_{h_1-h_2} & \cdots & V_{h_1-h_{a_1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ V_{h_2-h_1} & \mid h_2 \mid^2 I & \cdots & V_{h_2-h_{a_1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \\ V_{h_{a_1}-h_1} & V_{h_{a_1}-h_2} & \cdots & \mid h_{a_1} \mid^2 I & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mid h_{a_1+1} \mid^2 I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mid h_{b_1-1} \mid^2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mid h_{b_1} \mid^2 I \end{bmatrix} \tag{60}$$

Then the spectrum of the matrix $D'(\gamma,\delta)$ is :

$$\begin{aligned} spec(D') &= spec(D)\bigcup\{|h_{a_1+1}|^2, |h_{a_1+2}|^2, ..., |h_{b_1}|^2\} \\ &\equiv \{\widetilde{\eta}_1, \widetilde{\eta}_2, ..., \widetilde{\eta}_{ma_1}, |h_{a_1+1}|^2, |h_{a_1+2}|^2, ..., |h_{b_1}|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $\Gamma_k = (\Gamma_k^1, \Gamma_k^2, ..., \Gamma_k^{a_1}, 0, ..., 0)_{mb_1\times 1}$ the normalized eigenvector corresponding to the k-th eigenvalue of the matrix D', when $k = 1, 2, ..., ma_1$ and by $\{e_{\tau,i}\}_{i=1,2,\cdots,m}$ the eigenvector corresponding to the τ-th eigenvalue $|h_\tau|^2$ of D', when $\tau = a_1+1, a_1+2, ..., b_1$.

Now, using the system from the previous theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D'-\eta_s I)[\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, \ldots, \theta_s^{b_1}] &= [(D-\eta_s I)[\theta_s^1, \theta_s^2, \ldots, \theta_s^{a_1}], (|h_{a_1+1}|^2 - \eta_s)\theta_s^{a_1+1}, ..., (|h_{b_1}|^2 - \eta_s)\theta_s^{b_1}] \\ &= [O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), ..., O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), (|h_{a_1+1}|^2 - \eta_s)\theta_s^{a_1+1}, ..., (|h_{b_1}|^2 - \eta_s)\theta_s^{b_1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product of the last system by Γ_k , $k = 1, 2, ..., ma_1$, using that D' is symmetric and $D'\Gamma_k = \widetilde{\eta}_k\Gamma_k$ we have :

$$(\eta_{s(k)} - \widetilde{\eta}_k)\sum_{j=1}^{a_1}\theta_s^j\Gamma_k^j = \sum_{j=1}^{a_1} O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})\Gamma_k^j, \tag{61}$$

where by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one has

$$|\sum_{j=1}^{a_1} O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})\Gamma_k^j| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{a_1} O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})^2}\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{a_1} |\Gamma_k^j|^2} \leq \sqrt{a_1(\rho^{-\alpha_2})^2} = O(\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2}).$$

Thus, we get

$$(\eta_{s(k)} - \widetilde{\eta}_k)\sum_{j=1}^{a_1} \theta_s^j \Gamma_k^j = O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2}). \tag{62}$$

So, we need to show that for any $k = 1, 2, ..., ma_1$ there exists $\eta_{s(k)}$, such that

$$|\sum_{j=1}^{a_1} {\theta_{s(k)}}^j \Gamma_k^j| = |\langle \theta_{s(k)}, \Gamma_k\rangle| > \sqrt{\frac{1 - O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_2})}{m \cdot a_1}} > c_{23}\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}\alpha_2}. \tag{63}$$

For this, we consider the decomposition $\Gamma_k = \sum_{s=1}^{mb_1}\langle\Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle\theta_s$ and the Parseval's identity

$$1 = \sum_{s=1}^{mb_1} |\langle\Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{ma_1} |\langle\Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2 + \sum_{i=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\langle\Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2$$

First, let us show that

$$\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\langle\Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2 = O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_2}). \tag{64}$$

Using that, $\Gamma_k = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m \\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} \langle\Gamma_k, e_{\tau,i}\rangle e_{\tau,i}$, the binding formula for $C(\gamma, \delta)$ and $A(\gamma, \delta)$, the relation

54, and the Bessel's inequality we obtain the estimation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\langle \Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2 \\
=&\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\langle \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} \Gamma_k^{\tau i} e_{\tau,i}, \theta_s\rangle|^2 \\
=&\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} \Gamma_k^{\tau i}\langle e_{\tau,i}, \theta_s\rangle|^2 = \sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} |\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} \Gamma_k^{\tau i}\frac{\theta_s\cdot Be_{\tau,i}}{|\eta_s-|h_\tau|^2|}|^2 \\
\leq\ & 16\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} \rho^{-2\alpha_2}(\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\Gamma_k^{\tau i}||\theta_s\cdot Be_{\tau,i}|)^2 \\
\leq\ & \sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1} 16\cdot|a_1|\cdot m\rho^{-2\alpha_2}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\Gamma_k^{\tau i}|^2|\theta_s\cdot Be_{\tau,i}|^2\right) \\
\leq\ & 16\rho^{-2\alpha_2}|a_1|\cdot m\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\Gamma_k^{\tau i}|^2\sum_{s=ma_1+1}^{mb_1}|\theta_s\cdot Be_{\tau,i}|^2 \\
\leq\ & 16\rho^{-2\alpha_2}|a_1|\cdot m\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\Gamma_k^{\tau i}|^2|Be_{\tau,i}|^2 \leq 16\rho^{-2\alpha_2}|a_1|\cdot m\cdot M^2\sum_{\substack{i=1,2,...,m\\ \tau=1,...,a_1}} |\Gamma_k^{\tau i}|^2 \\
\leq\ & 16|a_1|m\rho^{-2\alpha_2}M^2 = O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore one has

$$\sum_{s=1}^{ma_1}|\langle \Gamma_k, \theta_s\rangle|^2 = 1 - O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_2})$$

from which it follows that there exists $\eta_{s(k)}$ such that (63) holds. Dividing both sides of (62) by (63) we get the result

$$\eta_{s(k)} = \widetilde{\eta_k} + O(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2}).$$

□

Now, using the notation $h_s = \gamma - (\frac{s}{2})\delta$ if s is even, $h_s = \gamma + (\frac{s-1}{2})\delta$ if s is odd, for $s = 1, 2, ..., a_1$, (without loss of generality assume that a_1 is even) and using the orthogonal decomposition for $\gamma \in \frac{\Gamma}{2}$ as $\gamma = \beta + (l + v(\beta))\delta$, where $\beta \in H_\delta \equiv \{x \in R^d : \langle x, \delta\rangle = 0\},\ l \in Z,\ v \in [0, 1)$ we can write the matrix $D(\gamma, \delta)$ as :

$$D(\gamma, \delta) = |\beta|^2 I + E(\gamma, \delta),$$

where $E(\gamma,\delta)$ is:

$$E=\begin{bmatrix} \left((l+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I & V_{\delta} & V_{-\delta} & \cdots & V_{\frac{a_1}{2}\delta} \\ V_{-\delta} & \left((l-1+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I & V_{-2\delta} & \cdots & V_{(\frac{a_1}{2}-1)\delta} \\ V_{\delta} & V_{2\delta} & \left((l+1+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I & \ldots & V_{(\frac{a_1}{2}+1)\delta} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ V_{-\frac{a_1}{2}\delta} & \cdot & \cdot & \ldots & \left((l-\frac{a_1}{2}+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I \end{bmatrix}$$

Denote $n_k=-\frac{k}{2}$ if k is even, $n_k=\frac{k-1}{2}$ if k is odd. The system $\{e^{i(n_k+v)s^{'}}:k=1,2,...\}$ is a basis in $L_2[0,2\pi]$. Let $T(\gamma,\delta)\equiv T(P(s^{'}),\beta)$ be the operator in ℓ_2 corresponding to the Sturm-Liouville operator T, generated by

$$-\left|\delta\right|^2Y''+P(s^{'})Y=\mu Y \tag{65}$$

$$Y(s^{'}+2\pi)=e^{i2\pi v(\beta)}Y,$$

where $P(s^{'})=(p_{ij}(s^{'}))$ for $p_{ij}(s^{'})=\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}v_{ijn_k\delta}e^{in_ks^{'}}$, and $v_{ijn_k\delta}=(v_{ij}(x),\frac{1}{|A_{n_k\delta}|}\sum_{\alpha\in A_{n_k\delta}}e^{i\langle\alpha,s^{'}\rangle})$, $s=\langle x,\delta\rangle$. It means that $T(\gamma,\delta)$ is the infinite matrix $(Te^{i(l+n_k+v)s^{'}},e^{i(l+n_m+v)s^{'}})$, $k,m=1,2,\ldots.$

Theorem 5. *For every eigenvalue η_s of the Sturm-Liouville operator $T(\gamma,\delta)$, there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\eta_s}$ of the matrix $E(\gamma,\delta)$ such that*

$$\eta_s=\widetilde{\eta_s}+O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2}).$$

Proof. Decompose the infinite matrix $T(\gamma,\delta)$ as $T(\gamma,\delta)=\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{B}$, where the matrix $\widetilde{A}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{A}=\begin{bmatrix} \left((l+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I & & 0 \\ & \left((l-1+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \left((l-\frac{a_1}{2}+v)^2\mid\delta\mid^2\right)I \end{bmatrix} \tag{66}$$

and $\widetilde{B}=T(\gamma,\delta)-\widetilde{A}$. Let η_s be an eigenvalue of $T(\gamma,\delta)$, and $\Theta_s=(\Theta_s^1,\Theta_s^2,\Theta_s^3,...)$ be the corresponding normalized eigenvector, that is $T\Theta_s=\eta_s\Theta_s$. Denote by $\{e_{\tau i}\}_{i=1}^m=(0,0,...,1,0,...)$ the τ-th eigenvector of the matrix $\widetilde{A}$. Then, the corresponding τ-th eigenvalue of $\widetilde{A}$ is $|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2$, that is $\widetilde{A}e_{\tau i}=|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2e_{\tau i}$, where $\tau'=l-\frac{\tau}{2}$ if τ is even, $\tau'=l+\frac{\tau-1}{2}$ if τ is odd, for $\tau=1,2,....$ One can easily verify that

$$(\eta_s-|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2)\theta_s^{\tau i}=\langle\Theta_s,\widetilde{B}e_{\tau i}\rangle \tag{67}$$

and using the orthogonal decomposition $\widetilde{B}e_{\tau i}=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\langle\widetilde{B}e_{\tau i},e_{k,j}\rangle e_{k,j}$, we get

$$(\eta_s-|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2)\Theta_s^{\tau i}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\langle\widetilde{B}e_{\tau i},e_{k,j}\rangle\Theta_s^{kj}$$

and since $\langle\widetilde{B}e_{\tau,i},e_{k,j}\rangle=v_{ji(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}$ for $k\neq\tau$,

$$(\eta_s-|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2)\Theta_s^{\tau i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{a_1}v_{ji(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}\Theta_s^{kj}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=a_1+1}^{\infty}v_{ji(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}\Theta_s^{kj}. \tag{68}$$

Now take any eigenvalue η_s of $T(\gamma,\delta)$, satisfying $|\eta_s-|(i'+v)\delta|^2|<sup|P(s')|$ for $s=1,2,...,\frac{a_1}{2}$, where $i'=l-\frac{s}{2}$ if s is even, $i'=l+\frac{s-1}{2}$ if s is odd. The relations $\gamma\in V_\delta(\rho^{\alpha_1})$ $(\delta\neq e_i)$ and $\gamma=\beta+(l+v)\delta$, $\langle\beta,\delta\rangle=0$ imply

$$|2\langle\gamma,\delta\rangle+|\delta|^2|=|(l+v)|\delta|^2+|\delta|^2|<\rho^{\alpha_1},\qquad |l|<c_{12}\rho^{\alpha_1}.$$

Therefore using the definition of i' and τ', we have

$$|(i'+v)\delta|<\frac{|a_1\delta|}{4}+c_{23}\rho^{\alpha_1},$$

for $s=1,2,...\frac{a_1}{2}$ and

$$|(\tau'+v)\delta|>\frac{|a_1\delta|}{2}-c_{24}\rho^{\alpha_1},$$

for $\tau>a_1$. Since $|a_1|>c_{25}\rho^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}}$ and $\alpha_2>2\alpha_1$, we have

$$||(i'+v)\delta|^2-|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2|>c_{26}\rho^{\alpha_2}, \tag{69}$$

for $s\leq\frac{a_1}{2}$, $\tau>a_1$, which implies

$$|\eta_s-|(\tau'+v)\delta|^2|=||\eta_s-|(i'+v)\delta|^2|-||(\tau'+v)\delta|^2|-|(i'+v)\delta|^2||>c_{17}\rho^{\alpha_2},$$

for $s = 1, 2, ... \frac{a_1}{2}$, $\tau > a_1$.
Thus,

$$|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=a_1+1}^{\infty} v_{ij(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}\Theta_s^{kj}| = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=a_1+1}^{\infty} |v_{ij(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}| \left|\frac{\langle \Theta_s, \widetilde{B}e_{k,j}\rangle}{\eta_s - |(k^{'}+v)\delta|^2}\right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=a_1+1}^{\infty} |v_{ij(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}| \frac{\|\Theta_s\|\|\widetilde{B}\|\|e_{k,j}\|}{|\eta_s - |(k^{'}+v)\delta|^2|} \leq M\rho^{-\alpha_2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=a_1+1}^{\infty} |v_{ij(n_k-n_\tau)\delta}| \tag{70}$$

$$\leq c_{18}\rho^{-\alpha_2},$$

since $\|\widetilde{B}\| \leq M$. Indeed, $\widetilde{B}$ corresponds to the operator $P : Y \to P(s^{'})Y$ in $L_2[0, 2\pi]$, which has norm $sup|P(s^{'})| \leq M$. Therefore writing the equation (68) for all $\tau = 1, 2, ..., a_1$, and using (70) we get the following system

$$(E(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)[\Theta_s^1, \Theta_s^2, ..., \Theta_s^{a_1}] = [O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), ..., O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})]. \tag{71}$$

Using that, $\Theta_s = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} \Theta_s^{\tau} e_{\tau,i}$, the formula (67) and (70), we have

$$\sum_{j=a_1+1}^{\infty} |\Theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 = \sum_{j=a_1+1}^{\infty} |\frac{\langle \Theta_s, \widetilde{B}e_{\tau,i}\rangle}{\eta_s - |(\tau^{'}+v)\delta|^2}|^2 = O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2})$$

and thus ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a_1} |\Theta_s^{\tau i}|^2 = 1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2}).$$

Taking the norm of the vector (see (71))

$$[\Theta_s^1, \Theta_s^2, ..., \Theta_s^{a_1}] = (E(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)^{-1}[O(\rho^{-\alpha_2}), ..., O(\rho^{-\alpha_2})],$$

we get

$$\sqrt{\frac{1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2})}{m}} = \|(E(\gamma,\delta) - \eta_s I)^{-1}\| O(\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2})$$

or

$$\min_j |\eta_s - \widetilde{\eta}_\tau| = \frac{O(\sqrt{a_1}\rho^{-\alpha_2}) \cdot \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{1 - O(\rho^{-2\alpha_2})}} = O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2}),$$

where the minimum is taken over all eigenvalues $\widetilde{\eta}_\tau$ of the matrix $E(\gamma,\delta)$. Thus, the result follows. □

Theorem 6. ***(Main result)*** *For every $\beta \in H_\delta$, $|\beta| \sim \rho$ and for every eigenvalue $\eta_s(v(\beta))$ of the Sturm-Liouville operator $T(\gamma,\delta)$, there is an eigenvalue Υ_N of the operator $L(V)$ satisfying*

$$\Upsilon_N = |\beta|^2 + \eta_s + \lambda_i + O(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2}),$$

where λ_i is a given eigenvalue of the matrix V_0.

Proof. From Theorem 5 and the definition of $E(\gamma,\delta)$, there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\eta}_{l(s)}$ of the matrix $D(\gamma,\delta)$, where γ has a decomposition $\gamma = \beta + (l + v(\beta))\delta$, satisfying $\widetilde{\eta}_{l(s)} = |\beta|^2 + \eta_s + O(\rho^{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_2})$. Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 2. ☐

References

[1] Atılgan, Ş., Karakılıç, S., & Veliev. O. A. (2002). Asymptotic Formulas for the Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Operator. *Turk J Math, 26*, 215-227.

[2] Berezin, F. A., Shubin, M. A. *The Schrödinger Equation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1991).

[3] Coşkan, D., Karakılıç, S. (2011). High, energy asymptotics for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a matrix potential, Mathematical Communications, 16(2).

[4] Feldman, J., Knoerrer, H., & Trubowitz, E. (1990). The Perturbatively Stable Spectrum of the Periodic Schrödinger Operator. *Invent. Math., 100*, 259-300.

[5] Feldman, J., Knoerrer, H., & Trubowitz, E. (1991). The Perturbatively Unstable Spectrum of the Periodic Schrödinger Operator. *Comment. Math. Helvetica, 66*, 557-579.

[6] Friedlanger, L. (1990). On the Spectrum for the Periodic Problem for the Schrödinger Operator. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 15*, 1631-1647.

[7] Hald, O. H., & McLaughlin, J.R. (1996). Inverse Nodal Problems: Finding the Potential from Nodal Lines. *Memoirs of AMS, 572*, 119, 0075-9266.

[8] Karakılıç, S., Atılgan, Ş., & Veliev. O. A. (2005). Asymptotic Formulas for the Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Operator with Dirichlet and Neumann Boundary Conditions. *Reports on Mathematical Physics (ROMP), 55*(2), 221-239.

[9] Karakılıç, S., Veliev. O. A., & Atılgan, Ş. (2005). Asymptotic Formulas for the Resonance Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Operator. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 29*(4), 323-347.

[10] Karpeshina, Y. (1992). Perturbation Theory for the Schrödinger Operator with a non-smooth Periodic Potential. *Math. USSR-Sb, 71*, 701-123.

[11] Karpeshina, Y. (1996). Perturbation series for the Schrödinger Operator with a Periodic Potential near Planes of Diffraction. *Communication in Analysis and Geometry, 4*, 3, 339-413.

[12] Karpeshina, Y. (2002). On the Spectral Properties of Periodic Polyharmonic Matrix Operators. *Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 112*(1), 117-130.

[13] Kato, T. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin (1980)

[14] Reed, M., Simon, B. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, 3rd ed., New York, San Francisco, London: Academic Press (1987)vol. IV

[15] Veliev, O. A. (1987). Asymptotic Formulas for the Eigenvalues of the Periodic Schrödinger Operator and the Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture. *Functsional Anal. i Prilozhen, 21*, 2, 1-15.

[16] Veliev, O. A. (2006). Asymptotic Formulae for the Bloch Eigenvalues Near Planes of Diffraction. *Reports on Mathematical Physics(ROMP), 58(3)*, 445-464.

[17] Veliev, O. A. (2007). Perturbation Theory for the Periodic Multidimensional Schrödinger Operator and the Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture. *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, 2 (2)*, 19-87.

[18] Birkhoff, G. D. (1908). On the asymptotic character of the solutions of certain linear differential equations containing a parameter. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 9, 219-23.

[19] Eastham, M. S. P. (1971). The Schrödinger equation with a periodic potential.Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 69, 125-31.

[20] Eastham, M. S. P. (1973). The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations, Edinburg: Scottish Academic Press.

[21] Feldman, J. & Knoerrer, H. & Trubowitz, E. (1991)The Perturbatively unstable Spectrum of the Periodic Schrodinger Operator. Comment. Math. Helvetica, 66, 557-579.

[22] Friedlanger, L. (1990). On the Spectrum for the Periodic Problem for the Schrodinger Operator.Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 15, 1631-1647.

[23] Kato, T. (1966). Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag.

[24] Marchenko, V. A., (1986). Sturm-Liouville Operators and their Applications, Basel: Birkhauser.

[25] Naimark, M. A. (1968). Linear Differential Operators. George G. Harrap & CO., LTD.

[26] Novikov, S. P.(1983). Two-Dimensional Schrödinger Operators in Periodic Fields. In Itogi Nauki i Techniki, Contemporary Problems of Math.,VINITI,Moscow, 23, pp.3-23.

[27] Shubin, M. A. (1994) Partial Differential Equations VII, Spectral Theory of Differential Operators, Springer-Verlag. Berlin Heidelberg.

[28] Tamarkin, Ya. D. (1917).On certain general problems of the theory of ordinary linear differential equations. Petrograd. Russia.

[29] Veliev, O. A. (1983). On the Spectrum of the Schrödinger Operator with Periodic Potential.Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 268, no.6, 1289-1292.

[30] Veliev, O. A.(1988). The Spectrum of Multidimensional Periodic Operators. Teor. Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen, 49, 17-34.

[31] Veliev, O. A.(2001). The Periodic Multidimensional Scrödinger Operator, Part 1, Asymptotic Formulas for Eigenvalues. University of Texas, Mathematics Department, Mathematical Physics Preprint Archive, 01-446, Dec.4, 2001.

[32] Karakılıç, S. (2004). On the Perturbation Theory for the Multidimensional Schrödinger Operator. İzmir.

[33] Karakılıç, S. & Akduman S. (2014). arXiv1409.4718K, Eigenvalue Asymptotics for the Schrödinger Operator with a Matrix Potential in a Single Resonance Domain, arXiv:1409.4718